



policy “shall” be reversed (section 3) and transgender individuals will be subject to discharge as a result of disclosure of their transgender status.

3. Based on my knowledge and experience in military personnel and readiness challenges, as a result of service as a senior executive in each of the three military departments as well as Chief of Staff to the Secretary of Defense, the recently announced policy change is causing significant harm to current servicemembers who have already disclosed their status as an individual who is also transgender to their commanders.

4. The Memorandum asserts that the “previous Administration” had an “[in]sufficient basis” for allowing open service, and therefore, this Administration is directing the reversal of policy changes that had enabled open service based on its “meaningful concerns” about the impact of open service on “under military effectiveness and lethality, disrupt unit cohesion, or tax military resources.”

5. In my experience, this communicates that the Commander in Chief of the U.S. military believes that transgender service members are unfit for military duty solely because of their transgender status. It degrades the value of transgender individuals not only to those service members themselves, but gives license to their leaders and fellow service members to do the same, in an environment where the ability to unqualifiedly and mutually rely on each other is an indispensable element of service. The Memorandum on its face marks these service members as deserving of impending involuntary discharge.

6. The Memorandum alone, and certainly when animated by the President’s tweets, causes harm by preventing transgender service members from serving on equal terms with other service members based on their merit; serves to substantially limiting their advancement and promotion opportunities in the military; and undermines their standing with superiors and peers,

as described above. Opportunity to succeed and advance in the military should not depend on gender identity, nor any other factor other than ability to meet the required standards.

7. The harm extends beyond the individuals involved to the whole ethos of the military as a meritocracy where all Americans who want to serve and can meet its standards should be afforded the opportunity to do so. Unjustified, categorical bans on Americans qualified and ready to serve diminishes that organizing principle.

8. Furthermore, the Presidential Memorandum and Secretary of Defense Jim Mattis' August 29, 2017 announcement that he will "carry out the president's policy direction" by "develop[ing] a study and implementation plan" sends the clear message to American society that the U.S. Army is not, as General Mark Milley, the Army's Chief of Staff and highest ranked officer, declared in 2016 "open to all Americans who meet the standard, regardless of who they are."


9. That declaration is essential to ensuring the military has access to the best and brightest America has to offer and that those who seek to serve know that they will be judged by their performance alone, rather than the artificial prejudices that once hampered the advancement and acceptance of African Americans, women, religious minorities, and gays and lesbians in our nation's armed forces.

10. In addition, when the military fails to keep pace with the demographic change of our nation and departs from the core principle of opportunity for all that can meet its high standards, it results in an erosion of understanding between those who serve and those who freedom those service members defend. The President's tweets and directive undoubtedly exacerbate this divide, both by creating a single class of Americans he deems unfit to serve and dividing the nation by telling them that only these individuals are unfit.

11. Finally, during my tenure as Secretary of the Army, I am unaware of any instance prior to or after June 2016 when a transgender person seeking to enlist or accept a commission in the Army was granted a waiver from the Army's medical accession standards.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: October 15, 2017



Eric K. Fanning